OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **BEFORE THE** POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)		
)		
RATE AND SERVICE CHANGES TO)		
IMPLEMENT BASELINE NEGOTIATED)	Docket No.	MC2005-3
SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH)		
BOOKSPAN)		

VOLUME #1

Pages: 1 through 21

Date:

August 11, 2005

Place: Washington, D.C.

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 628-4888

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)		
)		
RATE AND SERVICE CHANGES TO)		
IMPLEMENT BASELINE NEGOTIATED)	Docket No.	MC2005-3
SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH)		
BOOKSPAN)		

Room 300 Postal Rate Commission 1333 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Volume 1 Thursday, August 11, 2005

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

HON. GEORGE A. OMAS, CHAIRMAN HON. TONY A. HAMMOND, VICE CHAIRMAN HON. DAWN TISDALE, COMMISSIONER

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the United States Postal Service:

SCOTT L. REITER, Esquire United States Postal Service 475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260 (202) 268-2999

APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)

On behalf of Newspaper Association of America:

WILLIAM B. BAKER, Esquire Wiley, Rein & Fielding, LLP 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 719-7049

On behalf of Magazine Publishers of America, Inc.:

JAMES PIERCE MYERS, Esquire 1211 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 610 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 331-8315

On behalf of Discover Financial Services, Inc.:

ROBERT J. BRINKMANN, Esquire Olive, Edwards, & Brickmann, L.L.C. 1101 - 17th Street, N.W., Suite 602 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 331-3037

On behalf of the Office of the Consumer Advocate:

EMMETT RAND COSTICH, II, Esquire SHELLEY S. DREIFUSS, Esquire Postal Rate Commission Office of the Consumer Advocate 1333 H Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20268-0001 (202) 789-6833/789-6837

On behalf of Val-Pak Direct Marketing Systems and Val-Pak Dealers Association:

WILLIAM J. OLSON, Esquire JOHN S. MILES, Esquire JEREMIAH L. MORGAN, Esquire William J. Olson, P.C. 8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 1070 McLean, Virginia 22102-3860 (703) 356-5070

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

On behalf of J.P.Morgan Chase and Company:

DAVID M. LEVY, Esquire JOY M. LEONG, Esquire Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood, L.L.P. 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 736-8214

On behalf of Alliance of Nonprofit Mailers:

DAVID M. LEVY, Esquire Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood, L.L.P. 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 736-8000

On behalf of Association for Postal Commerce, Bookspan:

IAN D. VOLNER, Esquire MATTHEW D. FIELD, Esquire Venable, LLP 575 - 7th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 344-8281

On behalf of American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO:

DARRYL J. ANDERSON, Esquire O'Donnell, Schwartz & Anderson, P.C. 1300 L Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 898-1707

On behalf of Direct Marketing Association, Inc.:

DANA T. ACKERLY, Esquire Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 662-5296

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Good morning. Today, we are
4	holding a prehearing conference in Docket Number
5	MC2005-3, concerning Proposed Negotiated Service
6	Agreement Between the Postal Service and Bookspan.
7	This case involves a request to implement a
8	baseline negotiated service agreement. This is the
9	first new baseline agreement filed since the Capital
10	One Negotiated Service Agreement, and the first
11	baseline agreement filed under the Commission's new
12	rules on baseline negotiated service agreements.
13	I am George Omas, Chairman of the Postal
14	Rate Commission. With me this morning is Vice
15	Chairman Tony Hammond, and Commissioner Dawn Tisdale.
16	The Postal Service and Bookspan proposes
17	that they be authorized to establish unique rate and
18	fee provisions based solely upon declining block rate
19	volume discounts available to qualifying standard mail
20	letter pieces.
21	Under this agreement, Bookspan will be
22	eligible for declining block rate volume discounts for
23	standard mail letter volume that exceeds specific
24	volume thresholds.
25	The discounts are payable only after certain
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

- 1 specific minimum volume levels above the volume
- threshold have been reached. The agreement also
- 3 limits the number of letters eligible for volume
- 4 discounts in any one year.
- 5 Bookspan indicates that the expected
- additional volume should have a multiplier effect;
- 7 that is, it should lead to additional volume of other
- 8 belated mail in several classes.
- 9 The Postal Service identifies this
- 10 multiplier effect as a prerequisite of this baseline
- 11 negotiated service agreement.
- 12 The reporter in this case is Heritage
- 13 Reporting Company. There are forms for noting
- 14 appearances available at the table as you enter the
- hearing room. If you wish to purchase transcripts,
- you should see the reporter after today's conference,
- 17 or call (202) 628-4888.
- 18 At this point, I would like to ask counsel
- 19 to identify themselves for the record. The United
- 20 States Postal Service.
- MR. REITER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. I
- 22 am Scott Reiter, representing the Postal Service.
- 23 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Bookspan.
- MR. VOLNER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Ian
- Volner and Matthew Field representing Bookspan.

- 1 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Advo.
- 2 (No Response.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Alliance of Nonprofit
- 4 Mailers.
- 5 MR. LEVY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 David Levy for the Alliance, and I will also be
- 7 appearing for JP Morgan Chase, along with my partner,
- 8 Joy M. Leong.
- 9 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Levy.
- 10 American Business Media.
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN OMAS: American Postal Workers
- 13 Union, AFL-CIO.
- 14 MR. ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
- and Commissioners, Darryl Anderson for the American
- 16 Postal Workers Union.
- 17 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Association for
- 18 Postal Commerce.
- MR. VOLNER: Ian Volner and Matthew Field
- for the Association for Postal Commerce.
- 21 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Direct Marketing
- 22 Association, Incorporated.
- MR. ACKERLY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 Todd Ackerly representing DMA.
- 25 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Discover

- 1 Financial Services, Incorporated.
- MR. BRINKMANN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
- and Commissioners, Robert Brinkmann representing
- 4 Discover Financial Services.
- 5 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Good morning, Mr. Brinkmann.
- 6 J.P. Morgan Chase and Company. Mr. Levy identified
- 7 himself as counsel, along with Ms. Leong. Magazine
- 8 Publishers of America, Incorporated.
- 9 MR. MYERS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and
- 10 Commissioners, Pierce Myers on behalf of MPA.
- 11 CHAIRMAN OMAS: National Newspaper
- 12 Association.
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Newspaper Association of
- 15 America.
- 16 MR. BAKER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
- 17 William Baker appearing on behalf of NAA. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Office of the Consumer
- 19 Advocate.
- 20 MR. COSTICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 21 Emmett Rand Costich on behalf of the OCA; and with me
- is Shelley Dreifuss, Director of the Office.
- 23 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. David Popkin.
- 24 (No response.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Time Warner, Incorporated.

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Valpak Dealers' Association
3	Incorporated; and Valpak Directing Marketing Systems,
4	Incorporated.
5	MR. OLSON: William J. Olson appearing on
6	behalf of both of those entities, Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Is there anyone
8	that I have missed?
9	(No response.)
L 0	CHAIRMAN OMAS: The Association for Postal
L1	Commerce, Magazine Publishers of America,
12	Incorporated; and National Newspaper Association,
L 3	filed motions for acceptance of late intervention.
L4	These motions are granted.
15	Commission Order Number 1441 gave notice to
L 6	the Postal Service's request, and granted a Postal
L 7	Service request to establish settlement procedures.
L 8	The Postal Service counsel was appointed to serve as
19	settlement coordinator.
20	I understand that a settlement conference
21	was held on August 10th. This morning the Postal
22	Service filed a report on the first settlement
23	conference, and proposed a procedural schedule. Mr.
24	Reiter, I very much appreciate the prompt submission
5	of a written report

1	Nevertheless, for the benefit of those here
2	in the hearing room who have not had an opportunity to
3	read that report, would you please provide an oral
4	progress report of that settlement, or of the
5	settlement negotiations.
6	MR. REITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We had very
7	good representation at the conference. There were
8	representatives for all but two of the parties who
9	have intervened.
10	Based on the discussions, I don't think that
11	we will be able to have a complete settlement of this
12	case. Nevertheless, the discussions were very
13	productive, and I think that as discovery proceeds,
14	and the parties get a better understanding of what
15	they need to know about our presentation, we will
16	probably be able to specify the factual issues that
17	need further inquiry, and we have reflected that also
18	in the proposed schedule.
19	And it may be possible that there are some
20	issues that all parties agree on, and we can specify
21	those as well, and we won't need to pursue those in
22	the hearings.
23	We also proposed that a further settlement
24	conference be scheduled so that we will have an
25	opportunity to discuss those issues, and then the

- 1 Postal Service will present a report on that to the
- 2 Commission.
- 3 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Does any
- 4 participant wish to supplement Mr. Reiter's comments?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mr. Reiter, the written
- 7 report proposed that a second settlement conference
- 8 report would not be submitted until October 7th. I
- 9 gather that this date was chosen for the convenience
- of the participants, and to accommodate activity and
- depending on them as rate cases; is that correct?
- MR. REITER: Yes, and in fact, several of
- the dates were chosen primarily to accommodate the
- demands on all of the parties during this stage of the
- 15 rate case.
- 16 And I will note that the report of the
- second conference on October 7th, as you note, is a
- day after the actual conference on October 6th. I
- realize that is a big stretch from the end of
- 20 discovery, but the reason for that is the final stages
- of the rate case.
- 22 Also, I want to propose a change to my
- proposal based on some further comments that I got
- from other counsel. Hearings for cross-examination of
- 25 the Postal Service's case, I would like to suggest

- that those be shifted to October 17th. I propose the
- 2 19th, and the 18th, if necessary.
- 3 That will give parties a little bit more
- time to prepare their own cases, which I have down for
- 5 October 24th.
- 6 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Does any
- 7 participate object to that aspect of the procedural
- 8 schedule suggested by the Postal Service?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN OMAS: The report on the first
- 11 settlement conference indicates that a settlement of
- all issues is unlikely. Commission Order Number 1441
- directed the participants to be prepared to identify
- 14 issues that would indicate the need to schedule a
- hearing. What issues seem most likely to require
- 16 evidentiary hearings at this time?
- MR. REITER: Issues were raised at the
- conference -- I had a list, but I am sure I remember,
- 19 and counsel will help me if I forget. But issues were
- 20 raised concerning the before rates forecast. Help me
- 21 out. You all raised them.
- 22 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mr. Volner.
- MR. VOLNER: Let me see if I can sort of
- 24 reconstruct it. There were a number of issues raised,
- and some of them are not of a factual nature, and Mr.

- 1 Baker can speak to that.
- 2 MR. REITER: Right.
- MR. VOLNER: There are others that are
- 4 factual in nature, including the before rates forecast
- 5 volume, and the after NSA forecast really more than
- the before rates forecast volume.
- 7 And there were questions raised concerning
- 8 some of the terms and provisions of the NSA agreement
- 9 itself, as to the extent to which it permitted
- Bookspan to do things that some parties considered
- undesirable, and there were some differences of
- opinion as to whether it did or did not, and that is a
- matter that we will address in the course of the
- 14 hearing.
- 15 A further issue that was raised was the
- question of the multiplier effect and its
- 17 quantification. And then there was an issue
- 18 concerning -- and which is in part factual and in part
- 19 legal in nature, concerning the question of Bookspan's
- use of certain standard mail rate categories for not
- 21 the shipment of the mail that is the subject to the
- NSA, but for the -- to send as they are required to do
- 23 by law the periodic notices to consumers that their
- 24 main selections, unless they opt out, will be sent to
- 25 them.

1	If I can speak to some of the empirical
2	questions, we intend as discovery moves forward and in
3	some cases even before discovery moves forward, as the
4	session yesterday was very helpful to us in
5	understanding the specifics of the issues.
6	We intend to supply some additional
7	information that is not in the testimony, and that we
8	will need to supply under seal because it is
9	competitively sensitive.
L O	And as soon as we can get that done, and
L1	hopefully within the next week to 10 days frankly, we
12	will do so. We will probably do it in the form of a
13	library reference because that makes things a little
L4	bit easier.
L5	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Volner. Mr.
L6	Baker.
L7	MR. BAKER: This is William Baker on behalf
L8	of the Newspaper Association of America. There are
L 9	several issues of interest to us in this case. First
20	and foremost, as Mr. Volner alluded to, this case
21	proposes a discount that does not justify any cost
22	savings.
23	The Commission before has never approved
24	such a discount, and we think that raises some
25	profound legal and policy questions that need to be

- addressed by the Commission.
- Secondly, there are aspects of this that
- 3 concern us simply because of the discriminatory nature
- 4 of this discount available to the one mailer,
- 5 Bookspan.
- 6 We have some questions that we intend to
- 7 explore in discovery, and which may or may not require
- a hearing as we get to it to quantify the financial
- 9 benefit that the Postal Service anticipates from this
- from the conversion of flat mail to letter mail.
- We have some concerns about the rate
- 12 structure and the Postal Service's quantification of
- assumed benefits that they present in their direct
- 14 case, and there may be some related issues arising out
- of that. That is the general nature of our concerns
- 16 at the moment, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Baker. Yes,
- 18 Mr. Anderson?
- 19 MR. ANDERSON: Darryl Anderson for the
- 20 American Postal Workers Union. Thank you, Mr.
- 21 Chairman. The American Postal Workers Union will
- 22 question and probably challenge the accuracy and
- 23 sufficiency of the cost data submitted in this case
- for the purpose of evaluating the financial impact on
- 25 the Postal Service.

1	The data that they submitted here is in
2	large part data that was submitted in our 2005-1, but
3	the problems and there are problems with the data
4	which the Postal Service has acknowledged in our
5	2005-1. They acknowledged that on cross-examination.
6	But unfortunately those problems have not
7	been resolved because in our 2005-1, they are not
8	relying on that data as a basis for the rates. So now
9	they have submitted the same data here with those
10	unresolved problems, and we are going to look into
11	that in discovery and possibly challenge its
12	sufficiency.
13	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. That was Mr.
14	Anderson with the AFL-CIO; American Postal Workers
1 5	Union/AFL-CIO.
16	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Is there anyone else who
18	wishes to comment? Mr. Costich.
19	MR. COSTICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
20	think counsel for Bookspan has covered most of the
21	issues that came up yesterday, but perhaps I can
22	elaborate a little bit concerning the multiplier
23	effect.
24	There doesn't seem to be any definition of
25	how one measures that, or even if it refers to

- 1 volumes, or whether it refers to contribution. The
- OCA also has some concern with why this case is an
- NSA, as opposed to a more general classification. The
- 4 Postal Service addressed that in its request in a
- 5 couple of sentences, but they seem to be mostly
- 6 conclusionary.
- 7 There is -- I am not sure how to describe
- 8 the problem other than there is one provision in the
- 9 proposed DNCS language relating to Bookspan acquiring
- 10 controlling interest in some entities, and whether
- 11 that should trigger an adjustment of thresholds, but I
- think that would be taken care of.
- 13 And finally the data collection plan looks a
- 14 little thin, and OCA may have some suggestions for
- 15 additions there.
- 16 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, mr. Costich. Mr.
- 17 Olson.
- 18 MR. OLSON: Mr. Chairman, William Olson. In
- 19 addition to the matters discussed and described
- 20 before, the letter flat differential and unit
- 21 contribution is a matter of some interest to us.
- The reliability of the Postal Service
- estimates that go to making up, I think, the majority
- of the revenue enhancements that they anticipate from
- 25 this NSA, as well as what Mr. Costich described as a

- fix of a system-wide or sub-class wide problem in rate
- design through an NSA offer to one mailer, and
- 3 implications of that. And of course the precedential
- 4 effect of this NSA for future NSAs. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Olson. Is
- 6 there anyone else?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN OMAS: The next order of business
- 9 is discovery. Discovery is already available to
- intervenors and some discovery has already been filed.
- I would like the participants to comment at this time
- on the need for additional discovery. Mr. Volner,
- would you like to begin?
- 14 MR. VOLNER: Mr. Chairman, we discussed the
- 15 schedule, and the schedule, I think -- and certainly
- among the intervenors who were at the settlement
- 17 conference, and that Mr. Reiter circulated -- he
- 18 circulated a draft earlier, was designed to do two
- 19 things.
- 20 First, to allow additional time for those
- 21 parties who have empirical questions to pursue
- 22 additional discovery, and second, to try to work this
- in so that we didn't, and as Mr. Reiter said, didn't
- 24 put insuperable burdens on those of us who also have
- 25 rate case responsibilities.

- And so what has been proposed -- and I think
- it essentially addresses the OCA's request -- is that
- 3 the completion of discovery would -- that discovery
- 4 would continue for another month, effectively until
- 5 September 7th.
- And as far as Bookspan is concerned that is
- 7 perfectly fine. I should point out that Mr. Reiter's
- 8 proposed schedule contemplates a motion to limit
- 9 issues, and I will tell you now so that there is no
- surprise about this, that some of the legal issues
- 11 that have been articulated are very likely to be the
- subject of a motion on our part, because if these
- issues are really disabling, we need to know before we
- start going into the rest of the hearing process.
- 15 So that in fairness to the parties who have
- 16 raised these issues, I just wanted to let you know
- what Bookspan's position is.
- 18 CHAIRMAN OMAS: All right. Thank you, Mr.
- 19 Volner. Mr. Baker.
- MR. BAKER: I was merely going to add, Mr.
- 21 Chairman, that the proposed discovery schedule that
- 22 was submitted this morning by Mr. Reiter appears
- 23 satisfactory to us and would be adequate. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you, Mr. Baker. Mr.
- 25 Anderson, any comments?

1	MR. ANDERSON: No, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mr. Olson.
3	MR. OLSON: No, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Anyone else?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN OMAS: A factor in developing the
7	procedural schedule is whether any participate will
8	want to present testimony in opposition to this
9	request.
10	I recognize that it may be too early in the
11	case to know whether testimony in opposition will be
12	offered, but it can't hurt to ask. Does any
13	participate currently plan to submit testimony in
14	opposition to the pending request at this time? We
15	won't hold you to it. Mr. Costich.
16	MR. COSTICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At
17	this time the OCA certainly contemplates filing
18	rebuttal testimony.
19	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Thank you. Does any
20	participate have any other matter to raise in today's
21	prehearing conference?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN OMAS: Does any of my fellow
24	Commissioners have any comments? Mr. Tisdale.
25	COMMISSIONER TISDALE: Nothing, Mr.

```
Chairman.
1
                 CHAIRMAN OMAS: Mr. Hammond.
2
                 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: No, nothing.
3
4
                 CHAIRMAN OMAS: There being none, I would
5
      like to thank you all. I will take these responses
      under consideration and will issue a procedural ruling
6
7
      in the near future. This prehearing conference is
8
      adjourned. Thank you.
                 (Whereupon, at 10:21 a.m. the hearing in the
9
      above-entitled matter was concluded.)
10
11
      //
      11
12
      11
13
      11
14
      11
15
16
      11
      //
17
      11
18
19
      11
20
      //
21
      //
22
      //
23
      //
24
      //
25
      //
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO.:

MC2005-3

CASE TITLE:

Rate and Service Changes to Implement

Baseline Negotiated Service Agreement

With Bookspan

HEARING DATE:

August 11, 2005

LOCATION:

Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the United States Postal Rate Commission.

Date: August 11, 2005

Paul S. Intravia Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Suite 600

1220 L Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005-4018